



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/694,089

10/28/2003

Akio Omiya

Q78144

3485

23373 7590 07/17/2007  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

HERNANDEZ, NELSON D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/17/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/694,089	OMIYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nelson D. Hernandez	2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Nelson D. Hernandez. (3) Laura Moskowitz.  
 (2) Sue Walts. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13 and 18.


Identification of prior art discussed: Wakabayashi et al. (4,937,609) and Nomura et. al (2003/0156832 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 LIN YE  
 SPE. APT UNIT 2622

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicants representative discussed claims as amended on the response filed on 6/21/2007 and discussed differences between the claims and the prior art to determine whether the claims as amended overcome the rejections made over Nomura et. al. in view Wakabayashi et al. The Examiner indicated that the claims as amended does not overcome the rejections made because the limitations "... by rotating the focus lens about a fixed shaft" since although in the application the shaft (fig. 8: 206) appears to be fixed to an inner rear wall of the lens barrel, the limitation as written fails to differentiate from the Wakabayashi et al. reference where the shaft 120 is fixed to the frame 58 since the limitation merely requires that the shaft is fixed but does not specify where. The Examiner indicated that the claim would overcome the rejections made over Nomura et al. and Wakabayashi et al. if the limitations of claim 19 are included in the independent claims 1, 13 and 18. The Applicant representative indicated that they would inform the Applicant about the Examiner suggestions and that would inform the Examiner about the Applicant's decisions on the suggestions made.